# Assessment Plan Master of Public Administration Program Eastern Kentucky University

The MPA Assessment Plan is designed to evaluate the program's ability to carry out its mission.

**Section I: Program Strategic Management** focuses on the appropriateness of the mission itself, and on whether an overall assessment plan is in place and is adequate to collect the necessary data to inform decision making and improve the program in governance, administration, program outreach, and teaching and learning. Program diversity efforts and outcomes are included here.

**Section II: Administrative and Governance Capacity** evaluates whether the program inputs (budget, faculty, administration, and operating autonomy) are sufficient to deliver an MPA program that accomplishes our mission.

**Section III: Faculty Performance** assesses the extent to which faculty professional and community service and scholarly and applied research are appropriate to carry out our mission.

**Section IV: Students and Student Support Services** evaluates the program's practices and outcomes with regard to recruitment and admissions, advising, internships, career counseling and placement efforts.

**Section V: Teaching and Learning** assesses the required Universal Competencies defined by the program and the actions taken based on this assessment to improve the program.

#### Regular Assessment Methods:

- **Current student survey**: 2 year cycle, conducted in POL 879 (spring semester). Survey items cover: mission/goals/values; recruitment information; internship placement information; student services information including career services and placements; teaching and learning competencies; Last conducted: Spring 2010. Next assessment due: Spring 2012
- **Graduating student survey**: every semester, required of all students who graduate. Survey items cover overall assessment of program, student learning, internship and career placement services
- Advisory Committee: 2 year cycle, in summer following current student survey. Committee reviews, revises, affirms mission/goals/values; reviews program demographic data; reviews and advises program on changes to program, curriculum, and governance practices. Last held: August 2010. Next meeting: Summer 2012. Subcommittees will meet as needed. Next meeting: Spring 2010 to approve 2011 1015 MPA Strategic Plan, meet with COPRA Site Visit Team if requested
- Alumni survey: 3 year cycle. Survey items will cover: mission/goals/values; career placements; teaching and learning competencies. Last conducted: Spring 2010. Next assessment due: Fall 2013 (in POL 800)
- **Employer survey:** The program uses Internship Supervisors as a proxy for employers.
- Internship Supervisor survey: every semester. Assesses professional conduct, key skills, knowledge, and capacity
- Required Competencies assessments: annual (see Section V)

#### **Section I: Program Strategic Management**

**Purpose**: To ensure the program has implemented regular, systematic, effective assessment of its Mission, Goals, and Values to determine if they are appropriate, clear, and achievable. To ensure the program has implemented a strategic planning process that examines program design, governance and administrative capacity, student services, faculty performance, and teaching and learning. The assessment must result in programmatic information that can be used to improve performance.

What we are Assessing	Assessment Activities	Performance Indicator	Analysis of Results	Action Based on Results
Mission, Goals, Values: are they appropriate for current and potential students' career needs; employer needs; University mission;	Annual faculty review – first faculty meeting in fall Current student survey, Alumni survey	M, G, V appropriate to student population, employer demand; address accreditation	M, G did not address accreditation standards; Program had no formal Public Service Values Students, alumni found M, G, appropriate	Faculty revised M, G. Faculty drafted Public Service Values Nov. 18, 2009
accreditation requirements? Are revisions needed?	Biennial Advisory Committee	standards	Members wanted mention of program's national reputation; shorter goal statements	Faculty revised M, G, based on Ad. Com. review. Committee affirmed M, G, V, 8/20/2010
Strategic Plan: does program have 5-year plan; does program review plan, assess outcomes annually?	Strategic planning 5-year cycle; 2006-2011 in place (extended 1 year by University)  Faculty review in fall 2009	Program is meeting goals as measured by KPIs	New plan must be written in Spring 2011 for 2011-2015	Current plan not well suited to new standards, new program assessment plan or Grad School SLOs. Re-write new plan in Spring 2011, review w/ Ad. Com; revise as needed.
Program diversity efforts: does program promote	Current student survey, Alumni survey	80% of students, alumni report "excellent", "above average" on diversity items	Benchmark data collected spring 2010; Current students average 84%; alumni average 75%	As part of competency assessment faculty will review course coverage, assignments; older alumni reflecting different program emphasis
inclusiveness?	Analysis of faculty, student diversity data	Program exceeds state average for overall diversity	In 09_10 and 08_09 student diversity rate 14%. Core faculty diversity rate 20%	KPI exceeded, continue diversity efforts re: student recruitment, faculty recruitment
Efficacy of Universal Competencies Assessment: is plan in place? Implemented appropriately? Evaluated? Does it inform program improvement?	See Section V for specific competency assessments.	Student Learning Assessment based on Universal Competencies is implemented, program monitors scope of competency attainment, uses results to improve course content, assignments, etc. as needed	Plan developed, adopted by faculty, full assessment cycle done for analyze, synthesize, think critically, solve problems, and make decisions; deadline set for assessment cycle for other 4 competencies to be fully implemented	Continue to review, improve competency assessment rubric, simplify where possible, implement data analysis and use of all competencies by deadline of Spring 2012

## **Section II: Administrative and Governance Capacity**

**Purpose:** To ensure program has implemented a regular, systematic, effective assessment of program inputs (budget, faculty, administration, and operating autonomy) to determine if they are sufficient to deliver an MPA program that accomplishes our mission.

What we are Assessing	Assessment Activities	Performance Indicator	Analysis of Results	Action Based on Results
			Fall 2010 PA Fund Account 98%	Short-term: no action needed.
December hands of		Dedicated PA Funds at least	of Fall 2009; CMLG Account	Long-term: discuss fundraising
	Annual review by MPA director,	90% of previous year	95% of previous fall	opportunities with Ad. Com.
Program budget	annual report to faculty	Salaries, benefits in line with		
	(Annual Report implemented in	overall University increases	MPA Faculty aligned with	
	Spring 2012)	(decreases)	University	No action needed
		Program maintains at least 5		Short-term: no action needed.
Drogram Faculty		nucleus faculty substantially		Long-term: discuss Paul Foote
Program Faculty	Annual review by MPA director,	involved in program		(Adm Law) joining core faculty;
	annual report to faculty	governance	Five faculty rule met.	nonprofit faculty needs
		Program administrative		
		assistant: position filled, annual		Short-term: no action needed.
Administrative Capacity		performance review Excellent	Teresa Howard in position (in	Long-term: discuss appropriate
	Annual review by MPA director,	or Above Average on all	20 <sup>th</sup> year); 2009-10	training for web design, data
	annual report to faculty	measures	performance review KPI met	base management
	Review with Advisory			Students find lack of nonprofit
	Committee current program			track a serious weakness.
	design, required course	Program design appropriate to		Advisory Committee
	offerings, optional tracks.	support mission, needs of		recommends developing
MPA Program Review	Determine if changes needed.	students		nonprofit track and certificate
			24% of current students intend	program. Program will develop
			to work in nonprofits; majority	proposal, review with/seek
	Current student survey		of internships, job placements	approval from dept., college,
	Alumni survey	Program design appropriate to	in 2008-09 and 2009-10 in	Graduate School, and
	Internship placements	support mission, needs of	nonprofit organizations	University.
	Career Placements	students		

### **Section III: Faculty Performance**

**Purpose:** To ensure core faculty teaching effectiveness is assessed and any problems are addressed; that faculty are engaged in professional service and outreach appropriate to program mission; that faculty applied and scholarly research productivity is appropriate to achieve our mission. To ensure that all adjuncts and part-time faculty possess academic and/or professional credentials directly related to courses they teach in the curriculum.

What we are Assessing	<b>Assessment Activities</b>	Performance Indicator	Analysis of Results	Action Based on Results
Too bin Effection	Syllabi review by all MPA	Faculty consensus that syllabi		
	faculty annually in spring. To be	are appropriate to achieve		
	implemented spring 2012	student learning objectives	N/A	N/A
	Student evaluations reviewed			
	by faculty member and MPA			
Teaching Effectiveness	director each semester. (New	All MPA faculty at department		
	student eval implemented fall	average or above;		
	2011; assessment not com-	All MPA faculty review their		
	parable to past evaluations). To	student evaluations for issues		
	be given fall 2011	that should be addressed.	N/A	N/A
		All MPA faculty assessed as		
		"Meeting Expectation" (Chair		
	Annual Merit Application (all	provides aggregate data; merit		
	MPA faculty)	scores are confidential)	Will begin Spring 2012	N/A
Frank, Analish and Assis		Department P&T Committee		
Faculty Applied and Academic		assesses all untenured faculty		
Research Productivity; Faculty		as meeting expectations (Chair		
Outreach and Professional	Annual Self-evaluation (all	provides aggregate data;		
Service	untenured faculty)	evaluations are confidential)	Will begin Fall 2011	N/A
		Annual evidence of applied or	All MPA faculty had scholarly	No action needed re research.
	MPA director annual review of	academic research; annual	activity appropriate to mission.	Colleague w/o service is devel-
	faculty Curriculum Vita in	evidence of service to	80% of faculty had service	oping plan for service to Estill
	spring semester	profession	appropriate to mission.	County's Leadership project.
Adjunct and part-time faculty		Professional or academic	Analysis conducted spring	
are properly credentialed, and		credentials as appropriate;	2010; all adjunct or part-time	
productive in research and		evidence of research in their	faculty appropriately	
service as appropriate to their		field; evidence of service to	credentialed with records of	
positions and fields	MPA director review of vita	profession	appropriate service & research	No action needed.

## **Section IV: Students and Student Support Services**

<b>Purpose</b> : To evaluate the program's practices and outcomes with regard to recruitment and admissions, advising, internships, career counseling and placement efforts.					
What we are Assessing	re are Assessing		Action Based on Results		
Student recruitment efforts and				Short term: No change to	
	MPA director annual review of	Recruitment plan implemented,	2008_09, 2009_10 plan review:	recruitment plan at this time.	
results	Recruitment plan to determine	plan review determines activities	recruitment fairs, letters to	Long-term: Seek grant funds from	
results	appropriateness to mission, to	appropriate to mission, potential	practitioners, prospective students	Graduate School for 2012_2013	
	population of potential students	students	carried out.	recruitment plan	
	Annual review by MPA director,	60 Completed Applications	08-09 09-10	Short-term: meet with EKU	
	annual report to MPA faculty	40 Admissions	Completed Apps: 39 38	enrollment mgmt staff on	
		30 Enrolled Students	Admissions 34 28	recruitment strategies. Survey	
Student applications, admissions,			Enrolled Students 28 15	admits who don't enroll. Long-	
enrolled students			2010-11 Estimates:	term: implement, market nonprofit	
			Completed Apps 38	track	
			Admissions 34		
			Enrolled Students 27		
	Annual review by MPA director,	SSY-5: 22 Initially Enrolled; 9 FT &	Completion rate: 64% (14	Grad School implementing contin-	
Degree completion	annual report to MPA faculty	13 PT students	students). W/I 2 yr: 8FT, 1 PT. W/I	uous enrollment. Prog. Dir. report	
			5 yr: 0FT,4PT. > 5 yr 0 FT, 1 PT	status of all students annually.	
Advising	Current student survey	80% of current students indicate	Not assessed in Spring 2010	Include advising items on next	
Auvising		advising is excellent; above avg.		current student survey	
		80% of current students indicate	Not assessed in Spring 2010 survey	Add internship item to current	
		ability to find appropriate	items; discussed in open-ended	student survey. Program is working	
Internship Placements: adequacy		placement. 80% indicate program	questions: pre-service students	on formal alliance with 2 local	
of placements, appropriateness to		assistance is excellent or above	want more program assistance	governments and 3 non-profits in	
mission	Current student survey	average	located internships	area for better placement optionss	
1111551011	MPA director annual review of	90% of students placed in local	96% of students in 2008-09 and		
	Internship placement data, annual	government, regional or state	2009-10 completed internships in		
	report to MPA faculty	government, or nonprofits	appropriate placements	No action needed.	
Career counseling, job placements		All students know of career			
	Current student survey	services office. 80% of graduating		Add career counseling to current	
	Alumni survey	students indicate career services	Not assessed in Spring 2010	student and alumni survey;	
	Graduating student survey	excellent or above average	surveys.	graduating student survey	
		90% of grads employed/6 mo.	Of 23 grads in 2008-09 and 2009-		
	MPA director annual review of	95% of grads employed/1 yr	10, 1 was unemployed, 2 status		
	placement data, annual report to	90% of grads in local, regional,	unknown. Of all known: 95%		
	faculty	state government, nonprofits	employed; all in appropriate jobs	No action needed.	

## **Section V: Teaching and Learning**

Purpose: To assess student mastery of required	•		•	ssment findings	
	MAPP	ING COMPETENCIES TO THE			
	To lead and		To analyze, synthesize, think		To communicate and interact productively
Required Course/Activity	manage in	To participate in and	critically, solve	To articulate and	with a diverse and
	public	contribute to the	problems, and make	apply a public	changing workforce and
	governance	public policy process	decisions	service perspective	citizenry
POL 765 Admin Law or LAS 735 Municipal Law	I	I	I	l	-
POL 800 Research Methods		P	P	I	Р
POL 801 Admin, Ethics & Policy	I	ı	I	I	I
POL 875 Organizations & Mgmt	P	P	P	Р	Р
POL 876 Human Resource Mgmt	I/P	ı	I	Р	P/M
POL 877 Public Finance Admin	P	P	P/M	Р	Р
POL 879 Policy Analysis & Program Eval	P	P	P/M	I/P	Р
POL 871 Internship	P/M	P/M	P/M	P/M	P/M
POL 871 Final Research Project	P/M	M	M	M	М
Written Comprehensive Exam	M	M	M	M	
Oral Comprehensive Exam	M	M	M	M	М
Note: I = Introduced; P=Practiced; M=Demonstrati	on of mastery				
		OOL STUDENT LEARNING OU	TCOMES TO THE MPA CON	<b>MPETENCIES</b>	
To explain, discuss, and apply clearly and accurately					
the key concepts and central theories, and	Х		Х	X	
demonstrate expertise appropriate to the discipline					
To formulate and express important/essential					
questions and issues related to the discipline with	x	X	x	X	x
clarity and accuracy, and appropriate depth and	^	^	^	^	^
breadth					
To identify, collect, analyze, and evaluate relevant					
information to understand essential questions and			Х		
issues and to advance knowledge in the discipline					
To identify, analyze, and evaluate underlying					
assumptions of arguments, abstract ideas, and			Х		
alternative perspectives and theories					
To generate new knowledge, application, or creative					
expressions through the self reflective synthesis of			x		
information, evaluation, and analysis of critical					
questions or issues/problems related to the discipline					
To communicate clearly and logically using oral,					X
written, and/or artistic forms					

Assessing Student Mastery of Required Competencies					
Competency: Students who graduate from the MPA program should be able to analyze, synthesize, think critically, solve problems, and make decisions					
Learning Outcome Defined	Evidence Collected	Analysis and Findings	Action Based on Results		
Employ analytical tools for collecting, analyzing, presenting, and interpreting	Final course research paper and final exam results in POL 800 SSY, SSY-1	Some students show poor understanding of which analytical tools appropriate for specific research questions and data	Increased lab time, more opportunities to practice using analytical tools without specific prompt from instructor		
data, including appropriate statistical concepts and techniques	Faculty evaluate 10 randomly selected final required research projects in POL 871 SSY, SSY-1 using assessment rubric	80% demonstrate excellent or above average use of analytical tools, clear descriptions and graphical/tabular illustrations of analysis	Practice in courses beyond POL 800 result in increased student mastery.		
Comprehend and apply statistical and decision tools for public management.	Faculty and invited practitioners evaluate final program evaluation papers and presentations in POL 879 SSY	All projects met expectations. Analysis appropriate, linked research questions to policy recommendations.	Program includes student eval of program in 2-year cycle of this class. Faculty working on other 'real world' eval projects.		
Identify, analyze, and evaluate underlying assumptions of public policy alternatives	Student performance on case study requiring identification of alternatives to local budget constraints requiring spreadsheets, identifying & prioritizing strategies to balance budget SSY	Most projects met expectations.	Expand coverage of links among policy goals, budget policy alternatives		
Understand and apply the legal context of municipal and nonprofit administration	Faculty evaluate final papers in POL 765, LAS 735 SSY-1; faculty evaluate the final project in POL 846 SSY – scoring rubric to assess ability to apply legal context to administrative problems	Projects, papers in municipal law, nonprofit classes demonstrate appropriate understanding and application.  Admin Law papers show students have difficulty applying core concepts to local public/non-profit administrative problems.	Reviewing cases used to increase application to local, regional, state public, nonprofit agencies		
Generate new knowledge or application through the synthesis of information, evaluation, and analysis of critical questions, issues or problems related to public administration and public policy.	MPA faculty evaluate 10 randomly selected final required research projects in POL 871 SSY, SSY-1 using rubric to assess level of application	About two thirds of the research projects attempt the generation of new knowledge or application with conclusions/recommendations appropriate to address administrative/policy problems. Some students have difficulty with appropriate scope of final project; analysis adequate but in some recommendations exceed findings	Faculty evaluating whether to include final research requirement in POL 871 Internship to create tighter link between applied projects and final research		
Overall competency	Current student survey; Alumni survey done in SSY	Current students: Over 85% confident in program's ability to teach skills/knowledge in this domain; over 75% confident in their abilities in domain. Alumni:79% score program excellent/above average in teaching in this domain	Deliberate Identification of competencies in domain new in SSY. Refine definition, link more specifically to syllabi SLOs, course assignments, exams.		